July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007–2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as Learning Results, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's Learning Results. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the Learning Results and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2008 13641066 ID:

SAU: Lincoln Academy

Lincoln Academy School:

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Lincoln Academy School: Lincoln Academy


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

Year			
icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1144 1140		1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1142 1141		1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1143 1140		1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1141		1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	lme	ent¹								CC	N	ΓΕΙ	NT	AR	EΑ	PA	\R1	ГІС	IPA	TIC	N ²						
CATEGORY OF	d	lurino	g test	ing v	windo	N		C	ritical	Read	ing				Math	ematic	s				Wr	iting					Scie	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	hool		SAU	Sta	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	139	100			15604	100	137	99			14875	96	137	99			15165	97	137	99			14869	96	136	98			14961	96
Ethnicity African American/Black	0	0			305	2	0	0			261	86	0	0			286	95	0	0			260	86	0	0			280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0	0			103	1	0	0			95	93	0	0			97	95	0	0			95	93	0	0			93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0			215	1	0	0			194	90	0	0			202	94	0	0			194	90	0	0			200	93
Hispanic	0	0			140	1	0	0			118	84	0	0			123	88	0	0			118	84	0	0			120	86
Caucasian/White	139	100			14841	95	137	99			14207	96	137	99			14457	98	137	99			14202	96	136	98			14268	96
Not Reported	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0
Identified disability	18	13			2247	14	18	100			2065	93	18	100			2138	96	18	100			2060	92	18	100			2081	93
Current LEP	6	4			648	4	6	100			508	79	6	100			564	87	6	100			507	78	6	100			534	83
Economically disadvantaged	26	19			4028	26	26	100			3682	92	26	100			3831	95	26	100			3679	92	25	96			3755	94
Migrant	0	0			5	0	0	0			5	100	0	0			5	100	0	0			5	100	0	0			5	100

MODE OF		(Critica	l Rea	ding				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	iting					Science		
	Sc	hool		SAU	Si	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	SAU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %	N	%
Participation without accommodations	120	86			13042	84	120	86			13332	85	120	86			13042	84	119	86		13192	2 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	2	2			739	6	2	2			810	6	2	2			739	6	2	2		791	6
LEP	6	5			399	3	6	5			456	3	6	5			399	3	6	5		436	3
504 plan	1	1			196	2	1	1			204	2	1	1			196	2	1	1		201	2
Participation with accommodations	16	12			1623	10	16	12			1624	10	16	12			1625	10	16	12		1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	15	94			1117	69	15	94			1119	69	15	94			1119	69	15	94		1088	69
LEP	0	0			93	6	0	0			93	6	0	0			93	6	0	0		83	5
504 plan	0	0			58	4	0	0			58	4	0	0			58	4	0	0		55	4
Other	1	6			367	23	1	6			366	23	1	6			367	23	1	6		353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	1	1			209	1	1	1			209	1	1	1			202	1	1	1		202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	1	100			209	100	1	100			209	100	1	100			202	100	1	100		202	100
LEP	0	0			15	7	0	0			15	7	0	0			15	7	0	0		15	7
504 plan	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0			1	0																	
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0			36	0	0	0			40	0	0	0			36	0	0	0		38	0
Non-participation – other	2	1			693	4	2	1			399	3	2	1			699	4	3	2		605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

² Percents are the percentage of students, including those who participated through alternate assessment (PAAP), who participated in the content area.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	-	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVEI	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden		Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	e-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	14 17 20 51	9 12 15 12			1079 1168 1184 3431	7 8 8 8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	58 55 42 155	38 40 31 36			5697 5714 5885 17296	38 38 40 39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	49 42 33 124	32 30 24 29			4772 4728 4093 13593	32 31 28 30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	30 25 40 95	20 18 30 22			3595 3444 3417 10456	24 23 23 23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							SA	AU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P	ı	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jene
All Students	135	20	15	42	31	33	24	40	30	1140							14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander Hispanic Caucasian/White	0 0 0 0	20	15	42	31	33	24	40	30	1140							248 94 192 115 13930	4 5 4 5 8	21 27 35 32 41	27 28 30 26 28	48 40 31 37 23	1132 1134 1138 1136 1141
Not Reported	0			"-				"		1110							0					
Identified disability Yes No	17 118	2 18	12 15	0 42	0 36	1 32	6 27	14 26	82 22	1124 1143							1823 12756	1 9	9 45	24 29	65 17	1126 1143
Current LEP Yes No	5 130	0 20	0 15	3 39	60 30	1 32	20 25	1 39	20 30	1143 1140							488 14091	3 8	22 41	24 28	52 22	1132 1141
Economically disadvantaged Yes No	26 109	2 18	8 17	4 38	15 35	8 25	31 23	12 28	46 26	1134 1142							3545 11034	3 10	28 44	30 27	39 19	1134 1143
Migrant Yes No	0 135	20	15	42	31	33	24	40	30	1140							5 14574	20 8	0 40	40 28	40 23	1136 1141
Gender Female Male Not Reported	70 65 0	13 7	19 11	21 21	30 32	21 12	30 18	15 25	21 38	1143 1137							7237 7342 0	8	42 38	30 26	19 28	1142 1140
Title 1A targeted program Yes No	0 135	20	15	42	31	33	24	40	30	1140							103 14476	0 8	9 41	30 28	61 23	1127 1141
Gifted/talented program Yes No	0 135	20	15	42	31	33	24	40	30	1140							295 14284	48 7	48 40	4 29	0 24	1161 1140



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Lincoln Academy School: Lincoln Academy

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2006-2007 10 7 578 4 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 2007-2008 637 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 58 41 5481 36 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 2007-2008 35 5508 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 28 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 2007-2008 33 34 5065 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 34 24 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and 2007-2008 40 30 3660 25 apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	iool							SA	AU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P	ı	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	_ Score
All Students	135	4	3	47	35	44	33	40	30	1141							14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander	0 0 0																274 96 200	1 2 8	12 24 37	31 30 34	57 44 22	1133 1136 1142
Hispanic Caucasian/White Not Reported	0 135 0	4	3	47	35	44	33	40	30	1141							120 14180 0	3 4	23 38	32 34	43 24	1138 1141
Identified disability Yes No	17 118	0 4	0	1 46	6 39	2 42	12 36	14 26	82 22	1132 1142							1896 12974	0 5	8 41	22 36	70 18	1130 1142
Current LEP Yes No	5 130	0	0	1 46	20 35	2 42	40 32	2 38	40 29	1137 1141							545 14325	3	16 38	28 34	53 24	1135
Economically disadvantaged Yes No	26 109	0 4	0 4	5 42	19 39	10 34	38 31	11 29	42 27	1137 1141							3695 11175	1 5	22 42	37 33	40 19	1136 1142
Migrant Yes No	0 135	4	3	47	35	44	33	40	30	1141							5 14865	20 4	20 37	40 34	20 25	1144 1141
Gender Female Male Not Reported	70 65 0	0 4	0 6	23 24	33 37	27 17	39 26	20 20	29 31	1140 1141							7362 7508 0	3 5	36 38	36 32	24 25	1140 1141
Title 1A targeted program Yes No	0 135	4	3	47	35	44	33	40	30	1141							103 14767	0 4	8 37	41 34	51 24	1134 1141
Gifted/talented program Yes No	0 135	4	3	47	35	44	33	40	30	1141							296 14574	35 4	59 37	5 35	0 25	1158 1140



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: Lincoln Academy School: Lincoln Academy

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>		ST	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVEI	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	ntified	Sch	ool	SA	\U	Sta	ite
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	18 16 15 49	12 12 11 12			952 937 962 2851	6 6 7 6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	58 55 46 159	38 40 34 37			6055 6167 5564 17786	40 41 38 40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	44 38 40 122	29 27 30 29			4916 4723 4679 14318	32 31 32 32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*	31 30 34 95	21 22 25 22			3221 3227 3376 9824	21 21 23 22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: Lincoln Academy School: Lincoln Academy

					Sch	iool							SA	AU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested	I	E	1	М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore
All Students	135	15	11	46	34	40	30	34	25	1140							14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander Hispanic Caucasian/White	0 0 0 0 0	15	11	46	34	40	30	34	25	1140							248 94 192 115 13932	2 3 6 2 7	19 19 30 30 39	30 38 34 36 32	49 39 30 33 22	1131 1133 1137 1136 1140
Not Reported	0																0					
Identified disability Yes No	17 118	0 15	0 13	2 44	12 37	3 37	18 31	12 22	71 19	1126 1143							1825 12756	1 7	7 43	23 33	69 17	1125 1142
Current LEP Yes No	5 130	1 14	20 11	2 44	40 34	1 39	20 30	1 33	20 25	1143 1140							488 14093	3 7	19 39	29 32	49 22	1131 1140
Economically disadvantaged Yes No	26 109	2 13	8 12	4 42	15 39	9 31	35 28	11 23	42 21	1134 1142							3546 11035	2	25 42	35 31	38 18	1134 1142
Migrant Yes No	0 135	15	11	46	34	40	30	34	25	1140							5 14576	20 7	0 38	20 32	60 23	1131 1140
Gender Female Male Not Reported	70 65 0	11 4	16 6	28 18	40 28	19 21	27 32	12 22	17 34	1145 1136							7239 7342 0	8	43 34	33 31	17 30	1142 1138
Title 1A targeted program Yes No	0 135	15	11	46	34	40	30	34	25	1140							103 14478	0 7	7 38	39 32	54 23	1128 1140
Gifted/talented program Yes No	0 135	15	11	46	34	40	30	34	25	1140							295 14286	42 6	53 38	4 33	0 24	1159 1139

 $\mathbf{N} = \text{Number}$



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Lincoln Academy
School: Lincoln Academy

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified SAU State School standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of Ν % Ν % Ν % multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Exceeds the Standards - The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes 2007-2008 5 4 300 2 of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central 52 39 5927 40 2007-2008 concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate 2007-2008 29 21 3544 24 some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent.

		nber	Avera	ge Points	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	ĄU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	7.04	46.9			6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	5.84	41.7			6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	5.04	36.0			5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	7.09	54.5			6.59	50.7

Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)

explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)

Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate

minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

49

2007-2008

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

36

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science
J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

Each content standard in the clusters shown is defined in Maine's 1997 *Learning Results*, which are the basis for science and technology Grade Span Expectations. Each item on the MHSA measures a grade span expectation, which can be found at http://www.maine.gov/education/lsalt/gles.

34

4988

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E	ı	М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	135	5	4	52	39	29	21	49	36	1141							14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander Hispanic Caucasian/White Not Reported	0 0 0 0 135	5	4	52	39	29	21	49	36	1141							269 92 199 118 14081 0	0 1 3 1 2	20 24 36 26 41	14 28 25 19 24	65 47 36 54 33	1134 1138 1140 1136 1141
Identified disability Yes No	17 118	0 5	0 4	2 50	12 42	2 27	12 23	13 36	76 31	1132 1142							1879 12880	0 2	11 44	17 25	72 28	1133 1142
Current LEP Yes No	6 129	0 5	0 4	2 50	33 39	2 27	33 21	2 47	33 36	1139 1141							519 14240	1 2	18 41	19 24	62 33	1134 1141
Economically disadvantaged Yes No	25 110	1 4	4 4	8 44	32 40	3 26	12 24	13 36	52 33	1138 1142							3651 11108	1 3	26 45	24 24	49 29	1137 1142
Migrant Yes No	0 135	5	4	52	39	29	21	49	36	1141							5 14754	20 2	40 40	40 24	0 34	1146 1141
Gender Female Male Not Reported	69 66 0	1 4	1 6	32 20	46 30	12 17	17 26	24 25	35 38	1142 1141							7277 7482 0	1	37 43	26 22	36 32	1140 1141
Title 1A targeted program Yes No	0 135	5	4	52	39	29	21	49	36	1141							100 14659	1 2	5 40	22 24	72 34	1133 1141
Gifted/talented program Yes No	0 135	5	4	52	39	29	21	49	36	1141							296 14463	13 2	80 39	5 24	3 34	1152 1140